

Draft

**Supplemental Environmental Impact Report
BART Warm Springs Extension**

SCL #2002032041

Prepared for:

San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District
800 Madison Street – Lake Merritt Station
Oakland, CA 94604-2688
Contact: Richard C. Wenzel
Environmental Project Director
Telephone: 510-287-4950

Prepared by:

Jones & Stokes

March 2003

Jones & Stokes. 2003. Draft supplemental environmental impact report BART Warm Springs extension. March. (J&S 02-041.) Oakland, CA. Prepared for San Francisco Rapid Transit District. 800 Madison Street – Lake Merritt Station. Oakland, CA.

Contents

	Page
Executive Summary	ES-1
Project Background.....	ES-1
Project Purpose	ES-3
Project Description.....	ES-4
Use of a Supplemental Environmental Impact Report.....	ES-4
Scope of this Supplemental Environmental Impact Report	ES-7
Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures	ES-8
Project Benefits.....	ES-9
Land Use.....	ES-10
Transportation.....	ES-10
Air Quality.....	ES-10
Energy.....	ES-10
Alternatives Analyzed in this Supplemental Environmental Impact Report.....	ES-11
2003 No-Project Alternative	ES-11
Proposed Bus Alternative	ES-11
Goals and Objectives	ES-11
Public Review Process	ES-13
Notice of Preparation	ES-13
Public Scoping Meeting.....	ES-13
Areas of Known Controversy and Issues to Be Resolved	ES-14
How to Comment on the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report.....	ES-14
References Cited in this Chapter.....	ES-15
Chapter 1 Introduction	1-1
1.1 Introduction.....	1-1
1.2 Project Overview	1-1
1.3 Project Background.....	1-3
1.3.1 1992 Adopted Project.....	1-4
1.3.2 Subsequent History of the Project.....	1-4
1.4 Description of the Proposed Project.....	1-6
1.4.1 Area Studied for the Proposed Project.....	1-7
1.4.2 Proposed Project Corridor	1-7
1.5 Project Goals and Objectives	1-7
1.5.1 BART Strategic Plan	1-7

1.5.2 BART System Expansion Policy and Criteria.....	1-12
1.6 Use of a Supplemental Environmental Impact Report.....	1-15
1.6.1 Purpose of a Supplemental Environmental Impact Report.....	1-15
1.6.2 Approach to Preparing a Supplemental Environmental Impact Report.....	1-16
1.7 Organization and Content of this Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report.....	1-17
1.8 Public Review Process.....	1-18
1.8.1 Notice of Preparation.....	1-18
1.8.2 Scoping Process.....	1-21
1.8.3 Public Scoping Meeting.....	1-21
1.8.4 Interagency Cooperation.....	1-21
1.8.5 Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report.....	1-22
1.9 Lead and Responsible Agencies and Required Permit Approvals.....	1-22
1.10 Areas of Known Controversy and Issues to Be Resolved.....	1-24
1.10.1 Areas of Controversy.....	1-24
1.10.2 Issues to Be Resolved.....	1-24
1.11 References Cited in this Chapter.....	1-25
Chapter 2 Project Description.....	2-1
2.1 Introduction.....	2-1
2.2 Project Setting.....	2-1
2.2.1 Project Location.....	2-1
2.2.2 Washington Boulevard and Paseo Padre Parkway Railroad Grade Separations Project (City of Fremont).....	2-3
2.3 2003 Proposed Project.....	2-5
2.3.1 Proposed Project Alignment.....	2-8
2.3.2 Warm Springs Station.....	2-34
2.3.3 Ancillary Facilities.....	2-36
2.3.4 Optional Irvington Station.....	2-38
2.4 Projected Ridership.....	2-40
2.5 Operating Plan.....	2-40
2.5.1 Current Operating Plan.....	2-40
2.5.2 Proposed Operating Plan.....	2-41
2.5.3 Train Speeds.....	2-42
2.6 Project Costs.....	2-42
2.6.1 Capital Costs.....	2-43
2.6.2 Operating and Maintenance Costs.....	2-43
2.6.3 Project Funding.....	2-43
2.7 Construction Scenario.....	2-45
2.7.1 Construction Activities.....	2-46
2.7.2 Coordination with Utility Providers.....	2-54
2.7.3 Coordination with Union Pacific Railroad.....	2-54
Chapter 3.0 Environmental Setting, Impacts, and Mitigation Measures.....	3.0-1
Section 3.1 Introduction to Environmental Analysis.....	3.1-1
3.1.1 Introduction.....	3.1-1

3.1.2	Scope of this Supplemental Environmental Impact Report	3.1-1
3.1.3	Issues Not Further Analyzed in this Supplemental Environmental Impact Report	3.1-2
3.1.4	Resource Study Area	3.1-4
3.1.5	Overview and Terminology of Impacts and Mitigation Measures	3.1-5
3.1.6	Analysis of Cumulative Impacts	3.1-7
Section 3.2	Hazards and Hazardous Materials	3.2-1
3.2.1	Introduction.....	3.2-1
3.2.2	Environmental Setting.....	3.2-1
3.2.3	Regulatory Setting.....	3.2-10
3.2.4	Impact Assessment and Mitigation Measures	3.2-12
3.2.5	References Cited in this Section	3.2-16
Section 3.3	Hydrology and Water Quality	3.3-1
3.3.1	Introduction.....	3.3-1
3.3.2	Environmental Setting.....	3.3-1
3.3.3	Regulatory Setting.....	3.3-6
3.3.4	Impact Assessment and Mitigation Measures	3.3-11
3.3.5	References Cited in this Section	3.3-20
Section 3.4	Biological Resources	3.4-1
3.4.1	Introduction.....	3.4-1
3.4.2	Environmental Setting.....	3.4-1
3.4.3	Regulatory Setting.....	3.4-28
3.4.4	Impact Assessment and Mitigation Measures	3.4-33
3.4.5	References Cited in this Section	3.4-55
Section 3.5	Land Use and Planning.....	3.5-1
3.5.1	Introduction.....	3.5-1
3.5.2	Environmental Setting.....	3.5-1
3.5.3	Regulatory Setting.....	3.5-11
3.5.4	Impact Assessment and Mitigation Measures	3.5-27
3.5.5	References Cited in this Section	3.5-43
Section 3.6	Population, Economics, and Housing.....	3.6-1
3.6.1	Introduction.....	3.6-1
3.6.2	Environmental Setting.....	3.6-1
3.6.3	Relocation/Regulatory Setting	3.6-9
3.6.4	Impact Assessment and Mitigation Measures	3.6-10
3.6.5	References Cited in this Section	3.6-28
Section 3.7	Aesthetics	3.7-1
3.7.1	Introduction.....	3.7-1
3.7.2	Methods of Analysis.....	3.7-1
3.7.3	Environmental Setting.....	3.7-4
3.7.4	Regulatory Setting.....	3.7-8
3.7.5	Impacts Assessment and Mitigation Measures	3.7-9
3.7.6	References Cited in this Section	3.7-37

Section 3.8 Cultural Resources	3.8-1
3.8.1 Introduction.....	3.8-1
3.8.2 Environmental Setting.....	3.8-1
3.8.3 Regulatory Setting.....	3.8-18
3.8.4 Impact Assessment and Mitigation Measures	3.8-19
3.8.5 References Cited in this Section	3.8-28
Section 3.9 Transportation	3.9-1
3.9.1 Introduction.....	3.9-1
3.9.2 Environmental Setting.....	3.9-1
3.9.3 Description of Analysis Scenarios	3.9-16
3.9.4 Regulatory Setting.....	3.9-26
3.9.5 Impact Assessment and Mitigation Measures	3.9-27
3.9.6 Cumulative Analysis of Proposed Project with SCRTC.....	3.9-68
3.9.7 References Cited in this Chapter.....	3.9-77
Section 3.10 Noise and Vibration	3.10-1
3.10.1 Introduction	3.10-1
3.10.2 Environmental Setting.....	3.10-4
3.10.3 Regulatory Setting	3.10-11
3.10.4 Impact Assessment and Mitigation Measures	3.10-16
3.10.5 References Cited in this Section	3.10-57
Section 3.11 Air Quality	3.11-1
3.11.1 Introduction	3.11-1
3.11.2 Environmental Setting.....	3.11-1
3.11.3 Regulatory Setting	3.11-7
3.11.4 Impact Assessment and Mitigation Measures	3.11-9
3.11.5 References Cited in this Section	3.11-19
Section 3.12 Energy	3.12-1
3.12.1 Introduction	3.12-1
3.12.2 Environmental Setting.....	3.12-1
3.12.3 Regulatory Setting	3.12-2
3.12.4 Impact Assessment and Mitigation Measures	3.12-3
3.12.5 References Cited in this Section	3.12-12
Chapter 4 Growth-Inducing Impacts	4.1
4.1 Introduction and Background.....	4.1
4.1.1 Growth, Land Use, and Transportation Systems.....	4.1
4.1.2 Regional Growth and BART Ridership.....	4.2
4.2 Growth-Inducing Impacts of the 2003 Proposed Project.....	4.3
4.2.1 Regional Growth Inducement.....	4.3
4.2.2 Direct Growth Inducement in the Local Project Area	4.4
4.2.3 Indirect Growth-Inducing Impacts in the Local Project Area.....	4.5
4.2.4 Indirect Positive Contribution to Smart Growth Patterns in the Local Project Area	4.6
4.3 References Cited in this Chapter.....	4.7

Chapter 5 Alternatives Analysis	5.1
5.1 Introduction.....	5.1
5.1.1 General CEQA Requirements for Alternatives Analysis	5.1
5.1.2 Addressing Alternatives in Supplemental EIRs.....	5.1
5.2 Summary of Alternatives Analyzed in the 1992 EIR	5.2
5.2.1 1992 Alternatives	5.3
5.2.2 Central Park Design Options	5.6
5.2.3 Conclusions Regarding Alternatives Analyzed in the 1992 EIR	5.8
5.3 2003 Proposed Project Alternatives Considered but Rejected.....	5.12
5.4 Alternatives to Be Analyzed in the 2003 SEIR	5.15
5.4.1 2003 No-Project Alternative.....	5.15
5.4.2 Proposed Bus Alternative	5.16
5.5 Evaluation of 2003 Project Alternatives.....	5.32
5.5.1 No-Project Alternative	5.32
5.5.2 Proposed Bus Alternative	5.32
5.6 Environmentally Superior Alternative	5.60
5.7 Project Goals and Objectives	5.61
5.8 References Cited in this Chapter.....	5.70
Chapter 6 Other CEQA Considerations	6.1
6.1 Introduction.....	6.1
6.2 Significant Unavoidable Impacts	6.1
6.3 Cumulative Impacts	6.3
6.4 Project Benefits.....	6.6
Chapter 7 Report Preparation	7-1

Acronyms and Abbreviations (11 x 17 foldout)

Appendices

Bound in the Draft SEIR

- A Notice of Preparation and Initial Study Checklist
- B 1992 Mitigation Monitoring Plan (Excerpts)

Bound in Separate Appendix Volume

- C Geotechnical Report
- D U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Species Lists for the
Proposed Project Area
- E California Natural Diversity Database Search for the Niles, Milpitas,
Mountain View, Newark, Hayward, Dublin, Livermore, La Costa Valley,
and Calaveras Reservoir 7.5-Minute USGS Topographic Quadrangles
- F Results of Surveys Conducted for Special-Status Birds and
Nesting Raptors
- G Results of Special-Status Plant Surveys
- H Results of California Red-Legged Frog Surveys
- I Results of Burrowing Owl Survey

- J Tables Listing Special-Status Species with the Potential to Occur in the Proposed Project Corridor
- K Wetlands Delineation Report
- L Potential Displacement Tables from 1992 EIR
- M Cultural Resources Technical Report
- N Transportation Technical Report
- O Noise and Vibration Technical Report
- P Air Quality Technical Report

List of Tables

	Page
ES-1 2003 Proposed Project Summary	ES-6
ES-2 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures	Follows Page ES-16
1-1 Project Goals and Objectives	1-11
1-2 BART Strategic Plan Goals and Policies.....	1-13
1-3 BART System Expansion Criteria – Framework for System Expansion.....	1-14
1-4 Organization and Content of BART WSX Environmental Documents .	1-19
1-5 Responsible Agencies and Required Permits and Approvals	1-23
2-1 2003 Proposed Project.....	2-7
2-2 Projected Ridership.....	2-41
2-3 Warm Springs to Richmond Route Operating Plan.....	2-41
2-4 Warm Springs Station to 24th Street Station Operating Plan	2-42
2-5 Estimated Capital Costs for Proposed Project.....	2-44
2-6 Proposed Project Funding	2-45
3.1-1 Approved and Proposed Developments in the City of Fremont.....	3.1-9
3.2-1 Updated Information on Hazardous Materials Sites in the Proposed Project Corridor	3.2-6
3.2-2 Summary of Sites Requiring Additional Exploration	3.2-8
3.3-1 Drainage Channel Characteristics Revised Since 1992 EIR for Flood Insurance Study.....	3.3-4
3.4-1 Vegetation Communities in the Proposed Project Biological Resources Study Area	3.4-8
3.4-2 Common Plant Species Observed in the Proposed Project Biological Resources Study Area: May–July 2002.....	3.4-9
3.4-3 Special-Status Plants of the East Bay Region	3.4-15
3.4-4 Birds and Mammals Observed or Expected to Use Habitat in the Biological Resources Study Area	3.4-16

3.4-5	Summary of Special-Status Species' Use of the Biological Resources Study Area	3.4-18
3.4-6	Summary of Habitat Acreages Impacted by Proposed Project and Optional Irvington Station	3.4-34
3.5-1	Current Land Use Designations and Zoning Adjacent to Proposed Project Alignment	3.5-14
3.6-1	Population Characteristics, Alameda County and Fremont	3.6-3
3.6-2	Residential Building Permit Activity in Fremont, 1998–2001	3.6-4
3.6-3	Growth Projections Alameda County and Fremont, 1990–2015	3.6-5
3.6-4	Employment in Fremont: 1990, 2000, and 2015.....	3.6-5
3.6-5	Summary of Office and Industrial Space: Supply and Absorption, City of Fremont.....	3.6-6
3.6-6	Taxable Sales for Alameda County and Fremont, 1989–2000 (dollar amounts are in thousands).....	3.6-7
3.6-7	General Financing, Fiscal Year 2000–2001 Alameda County and City of Fremont.....	3.6-8
3.6-8	Adopted Project Potential Displacements Identified in 1992 EIR and Required for the Proposed Project.....	3.6-14
3.6-9	New Potential Displacements of the Proposed Project	3.6-17
3.9-1	2002 Traffic Volumes in Fremont.....	3.9-4
3.9-2	Signalized Intersections Level of Service Criteria.....	3.9-7
3.9-3	Results of Level of Service Analysis: Existing Conditions - Warm Springs Station Area	3.9-12
3.9-4	Results of Level of Service Analysis: Existing Conditions - Optional Irvington Station Area.....	3.9-13
3.9-5	2010 Rail Ridership Summary	3.9-29
3.9-6	2025 Rail Ridership Summary	3.9-30
3.9-7	Daily Station Entries and Exits – 2010	3.9-31
3.9-8	Daily Station Entries and Exits – 2025	3.9-32
3.9-9	2010 Mode of Access/Egress to BART Stations	3.9-34
3.9-10	2025 Mode of Access/Egress to BART Stations	3.9-35
3.9-11	2010 Linked Transit Trips	3.9-37
3.9-12	2025 Linked Transit Trips	3.9-37
3.9-13	2010 Transit Travel Times (minutes).....	3.9-39
3.9-14	2025 Transit Travel Times (minutes).....	3.9-40
3.9-15	Results of Intersection Analysis for Existing Conditions and 2010 Scenarios	3.9-51
3.9-16	Results of Intersection Analysis for Existing Conditions and 2025 Scenarios	3.9-52
3.9-17	MTS Roadway Analysis Summary.....	3.9-60
3.9-18	Parking Demand Summary.....	3.9-63
3.10-1	Description of 1992 Ambient Noise and Vibration Measurement Sites	3.10-12
3.10-2	Summary of May 2002 Existing Ambient Noise Measurement Results.....	3.10-14

3.10-3	BART Design Criteria for Operational Noise from BART Trains	3.10-19
3.10-4	BART Design Criteria for Operational Noise from Ancillary Facilities	3.10-20
3.10-5	BART Specifications for Construction Noise.....	3.10-20
3.10-6	BART Design Criteria for Operational Groundborne Vibration	3.10-21
3.10-7	Residential Noise Impacts of Proposed Project	3.10-23
3.10-8	Institutional Noise Impacts of Proposed Project.....	3.10-24
3.10-9	Potential Locations for Noise Barriers.....	3.10-32
3.10-10	Residential Vibration Impacts of Proposed Project.....	3.10-33
3.10-11	Institutional Vibration Impacts of Proposed Project.....	3.10-34
3.10-12	Potential Locations for Vibration Mitigation.....	3.10-41
3.10-13	Summary of BART Ancillary Equipment Noise Impact Assessment	3.10-42
3.10-14	Summary of BART Construction Vibration Impact Assessment	3.10-44
3.10-15	Cumulative Noise Level Increase Allowed by FTA Criteria.....	3.10-46
3.10-16	Cumulative Residential Noise Impacts of Proposed Project.....	3.10-48
3.10-17	Cumulative Institutional Noise Impacts of Proposed Project.....	3.10-49
3.10-18	Potential Locations for Noise Barriers due to Cumulative Impacts.....	3.10-56
3.11-1	Federal and State Ambient Air Quality Standards	3.11-4
3.11-2	Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Data from Chapel Way Monitoring Station, Fremont.....	3.11-5
3.11-3	Mobil Source Emissions (pounds/day)	3.11-12
3.11-4	Mobil Source Emissions (tons/year).....	3.11-12
3.11-5	CO Modeling Results (ppm).....	3.11-13
3.11-6	Cumulative Mobile Source Emissions Resulting from Proposed Project plus Proposed SVRTC Project (pound/day).....	3.11-17
3.11-7	Cumulative Mobile Source Emissions Resulting from Proposed Project plus Proposed SVRTC Project (tons/year).....	3.11-18
3.12-1	Energy Consumption Factors.....	3.12-4
3.12-2	Annual Operational Energy Consumption.....	3.12-6
5-1	Projected Ridership – 2010 Bus Alternative Compared to Proposed Project with Irvington.....	5-24
5-2	Projected Ridership – 2025 Proposed Bus Alternative Compared to Proposed Project with Irvington	5-24
5-3	2010 Linked Transit Trips	5-26
5-4	2025 Linked Transit Trips	5-27
5-5	2010 Mode of Access/Egress for Proposed Bus Alternative.....	5-29
5-6	2025 Mode of Access/Egress for Proposed Bus Alternative.....	5-30
5-7	Estimated Capital Costs of Proposed Bus Alternative.....	5-32
5-8	2010 Intersections LOS.....	5-41

5-9	2025 Intersections LOS.....	5-43
5-10	MTS Roadway Segment Volume/Capacity and Intersection LOS Changes	5-48
5-11	Parking Supply and Demand – Proposed Bus Alternative	5-50
5-12	Proposed Bus Alternative Cumulative Residential Noise Impacts	5-51
5-13	Proposed Bus Alternative Cumulative Institutional Noise Impacts	5-51
5-14	CO Modeling Results (ppm).....	5-57
5-15	Mobile Source Emissions (lbs/day)	5-58
5-16	Mobile Source Emissions (tons/year)	5-58
5-17	Annual Operational Energy Consumption of Proposed Bus Alternative	5-59
5-18	Project Goals and Objectives	5-62
5-19	BART Strategic Plan Goals and Policies	5-64
5-20	BART System Expansion Criteria – Framework for System Expansion	5-65

List of Figures

	Page
Figure ES-1 BART System Map	ES-2
Figure ES-2 2003 Proposed Project.....	ES-5
Figure 1-1 BART System Map.....	1-2
Figure 1-2 1992 Adopted Project.....	1-5
Figure 1-3 Alignment Comparison.....	1-7
Figure 1-4 Area Studied for Proposed Project	1-9
Figure 1-5 Project Corridor	1-10
Figure 2-1 Regional Location Map.....	2-2
Figure 2-2 Fremont Grade Separations Project.....	2-4
Figure 2-3 2003 Proposed Project.....	2-6
Figure 2-4.a Proposed Project Alignment - Fremont BART Station to Fremont Central Park.....	2-9
Figures 2-4.b Proposed Project Alignment - Fremont Central Park to Paseo Padre Parkway.....	2-10
Figure 2-4.c Proposed Project Alignment - Former SP Railroad to Blacow Road	2-11
Figures 2-4.d Proposed Project Alignment - Blacow Road to Auto Mall Parkway.....	2-12
Figures 2-4.e Proposed Project Alignment - Auto Mall Parkway to Warm Springs Court.....	2-13
Figures 2-4.f Proposed Project Alignment - Warm Springs Court to Mission Boulevard	2-14
Figure 2-5.a Walnut Avenue BART Overpass	2-15
Figure 2-5.b South of Walnut Avenue - Typical Section	2-16
Figure 2-5.c BART Subway Portal (Transition Structure) - Typical Section.....	2-17
Figure 2-5.d BART Subway - Typical Sections	2-18
Figure 2-5.e Paseo Padre Parkway BART Overpass.....	2-19
Figure 2-5.f South Grimmer Boulevard BART Overpass.....	2-20
Figure 2-6.a Conceptual Site Plan - Proposed Warm Springs Station	2-21
Figure 2-6.b Conceptual Station Section Looking South - Proposed Warm Springs Station.....	2-22
Figure 2-7.a Proposed Maintenance and Storage Facility.....	2-23
Figure 2-7.b Proposed Maintenance Shop - Typical Layout.....	2-24
Figure 2-7.c Proposed Traction Power Substation Typical Layout	2-25
Figure 2-7.d Proposed Wayside Facilities Typical Layouts	2-26

Figure 2-7.e Proposed Ventilation Structure Option 1 Typical Layout	2-27
Figure 2-7.f Proposed Ventilation Structure Option 2 Typical Layout	2-28
Figure 2-8.a Conceptual Site Plan Optional Irvington Station.....	2-29
Figure 2-8.b Conceptual Station Section Looking South Optional Irvington Station.....	2-30
Figure 3.1-1 Cumulative Projects	3.1-12
Figure 3.2-1 Soil and Groundwater Sample Locations	3.2-4
Figure 3.3-1 Major Drainages in Proposed Project Area	3.3-3
Figure 3.4-1a Biological Resources with and Adjacent to the Proposed Project Corridor.....	3.4-2
Figure 3.4-1b Biological Resources with and Adjacent to the Proposed Project Corridor.....	3.4-3
Figure 3.4-1c Biological Resources with and Adjacent to the Proposed Project Corridor.....	3.4-4
Figure 3.4-2 Environmentally Sensitive Areas within the Project Corridor.....	3.4-20
Figure 3.5-1 City of Fremont Planning Areas.....	3.5-3
Figure 3.5-2 Planning Areas Affected by Proposed Project Alignment.....	3.5-4
Figure 3.5-3 Existing Land Uses Adjacent to Proposed Project Alignment.....	3.5-5
Figure 3.5-4 Existing Land Uses - Optional Irvington Station Site and Vicinity.....	3.5-8
Figure 3.5-5. Existing Land Uses - Warm Springs Station Site and Vicinity.....	3.5-10
Figure 3.5-6 General Plan Land Use Designations Adjacent to Proposed Project Alignment.....	3.5-15
Figure 3.5-7 General Plan Land Use Designations - Optional Irvington Station Site and Vicinity.....	3.5-18
Figure 3.5-8 Irvington Concept Plan Area.....	3.5-19
Figure 3.5-9 General Plan Land Use Designations – Warm Springs Station Site and Vicinity	3.5-21
Figure 3.7-1 Visual Analysis Areas.....	3.7-2
Figure 3.7-2 BART Visual Simulation Locations	3.7-12
Figure 3.7-3.....	3.7-13
Figure 3.7-4.....	3.7-14
Figure 3.7-5.....	3.7-15
Figure 3.7-6.....	3.7-16
Figure 3.7-7.....	3.7-17
Figure 3.7-8.....	3.7-18
Figure 3.7-9.....	3.7-19
Figure 3.7-10.....	3.7-33

Figure 3.8-1a	Locations of Cultural Resource Sites	3.8-10
Figure 3.8-1b	Locations of Cultural Resource Sites	3.8-11
Figure 3.8-1c	Locations of Cultural Resource Sites	3.8-12
Figure 3.9-1	Regional Roads	3.9-3
Figure 3.9-2	Average Daily Traffic Volumes (2000).....	3.9-5
Figure 3.9-3	Existing Study Intersections.....	3.9-9
Figure 3.9-4	Existing Intersection Configurations	3.9-10
Figure 3.9-5	Existing Turning Movement Counts.....	3.9-11
Figure 3.9-6	Existing Transit Service.....	3.9-14
Figure 3.9-7	2010 No Project Intersection Configuration Changes	3.9-18
Figure 3.9-8	2010 No Project Peak Hour Turning Movements.....	3.9-43
Figure 3.9-9	2025 No Project Peak Hour Turning Movements.....	3.9-44
Figure 3.9-10	2010 Proposed Project Peak Hour Turning Movements	3.9-45
Figure 3.9-11	2025 Proposed Project Peak Hour Turning Movements	3.9-46
Figure 3.9-12	2010 Proposed Project with Optional Irvington Station Peak Hour Turning Movements	3.9-47
Figure 3.9-13	2025 Proposed Project with Optional Irvington Station Peak Hour Turning Movements	3.9-48
Figure 3.9-14	2025 SVRTC Plus Proposed Project Peak Hour Turning Movements.....	3.9-49
Figure 3.9-15	2025 SVRTC Plus Proposed Project with Optional Irvington Station Peak Hour Turning Movements	3.9-50
Figure 3.10-1	Ambient Noise Environments and Criteria.....	3.10-3
Figure 3.10-2	Vibration Sources and Responses	3.10-5
Figure 3.10-3	Noise Monitoring Sites	3.10-7
Figure 3.10-4	Vibration Measurement Locations	3.10-10
Figure 3.10-5	Maximum Union Pacific Freight Train Vibration	3.10-15
Figures 3.10-6a through 3.10-6e	Project-Induced Noise Impacts	3.10-26
Figures 3.10-7a through 3.10-7e	Project-Induced Vibration Impacts	3.10-36
Figures 3.10-8a through 3.10-8e	Cumulative Noise Impacts	3.10-51
Figure 5-1	Proposed Bus Routes	5-18
Figure 5-2	2010 Proposed Bus Alternative Peak Hour Turning Movements	5-38
Figure 5-3	2025 Proposed Bus Alternative Peak Hour Turning Movements	5-39
Figures 5-4a through 5-4e	Cumulative Noise Impacts Proposed Bus Alternative.....	5-52